From:

Sent: 20 August 2012 16:21

To: Barry Colford

Cc:

Subject: RE: FETA efficiency savings 2011/12

Barry,

Thank you for providing the breakdown of figures along with the commentary below. Most appreciated.

Regards,



Transport Scotland Buchanan House 58 Port Dundas Road Glasgow G4 0HF

Strike it Out: preventing bridge strikes

From: Barry Colford [mailto:Barry.Colford@forthroadbridge.org]

Sent: 20 August 2012 16:18 **To:**

Cc:

Subject: FETA efficiency savings 2011/12



The following is the extract from the final Quarter 2011/12 FETA Monitoring Report. The text in red is additional and relates to what are considered to be efficiency savings.

Revenue expenditure compared to budget 2011/12

3.1 Details of the final position on revenue expenditure compared to budget 2011/12 are shown in the table below:

REVENUE	Budget	Expenditure	(Under) / Over	
	£'000	£'000	£'000	
Administration				
Employee Costs	640	601	(39)	
Other costs	937	897	(40)	

	1,577	1,498	(79)
<u>Maintenance</u>			
Employee Costs	1,594	1,436	(158)
Other costs	742	626	(116)
	2,336	2,062	(274)
Traffic Operations			
Employee Costs	976	1,119	143
Other costs	334	232	(102)
	1,310	1,351	41
<u>Income</u>	(123)	(125)	(2)
Net Revenue Expenditure	5,100	4,786	(314)

3.2 The under spend in revenue is due to;

- (i) Employee costs under spend £0.054m savings associated with vacant posts not being filled and turnover. There were also internal staffing movements between Maintenance and Traffic Operations. It is considered that all this saving £54,000 was due to efficiencies in doing the same with less staff resource.
- (ii) Administration other costs under spend £0.040m Under spends/deferrals on ICT costs (£0.038m), CEC support costs (£0.032m) and other expenses (£0.021m), off-set by an increase in legal fees (£0.051m). It is considered that the total saving of £40,000 was due to efficiency saving. It would have been increased by £51,000 but we had to pay this sum to pay legal fees for the pier defences cathodic protection action.
- (iii) Maintenance other costs under spend £0.116m. Hanger Cradles (£0.056m) were budgeted in Revenue but charged to Capital at the year-end. The balance relates mainly to maintenance spend being below budget within the Anchorages, Main Towers and Workshops. Of the balance (£0.116m minus £0.056m = £60,000) all was due to efficiency savings.
- (iv) Traffic Operations under spend £0.102m Weather emergency and communications was under budget by £0.065m. The weather emergency budget was increased 2011/12 due to severe weather which saw the bridge closed in December 2010. Expenditure in this area during the year was less than budget because the winter was not as severe. The balance relates to under spends in various other budget headings. Of the balance (£0.102m minus £0.065m = £37,000) all was due to efficiency savings.

In summary, the total efficiency savings for 2011/12 are estimated to have been Employee Costs £54,000

Admin other costs £40,000

Maintenance other costs £60,000

Traffic Ops £37,000

Total £191,000

This figure of £191,000 is the estimate of the total sum of the efficiency savings made by FETA during 2011/12 and represents 3.99% of the actual revenue budget for 2011/12.

Regards,

Barry

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless Worldwide in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email has been received from an external party and

has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

This e-mail (and any files or other attachments transmitted with it) is intended solely for the attention of the addressee(s). Unauthorised use, disclosure, storage, copying or distribution of any part of this e-mail is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient please destroy the email, remove any copies from your system and inform the sender immediately by return.

Communications with the Scottish Government may be monitored or recorded in order to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes. The views or opinions contained within this e-mail may not necessarily reflect those of the Scottish Government.

Tha am post-d seo (agus faidhle neo ceanglan còmhla ris) dhan neach neo luchd-ainmichte a-mhàin. Chan eil e ceadaichte a chleachdadh ann an dòigh sam bith, a' toirt a-steach còraichean, foillseachadh neo sgaoileadh, gun chead. Ma 's e is gun d'fhuair sibh seo le gun fhiosd', bu choir cur às dhan phost-d agus lethbhreac sam bith air an t-siostam agaibh, leig fios chun neach a sgaoil am post-d gun dàil.

Dh'fhaodadh gum bi teachdaireachd sam bith bho Riaghaltas na h-Alba air a chlàradh neo air a sgrùdadh airson dearbhadh gu bheil an siostam ag obair gu h-èifeachdach neo airson adhbhar laghail eile. Dh'fhaodadh nach eil beachdan anns a' phost-d seo co-ionann ri beachdan Riaghaltas na h-Alba.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Cable&Wireless Worldwide in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com